



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/814,288	03/21/2001	Noriko Ito	14419	4794
	7590 08/20/200 TT MURPHY & PRES	EXAMINER		
400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA SUITE 300 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			LASTRA, DANIEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			3622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office A. H. O	09/814,288	ITO, NORIKO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DANIEL LASTRA	3622
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versiller to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite

1. Claims 1-17 have been examined. Application 09/814,288 (ADVERTISEMENT PROVIDING SYSTEM AND METHOD) has a filing date 03/21/2001 and foreign priority of 03/30/00.

Response to Amendment

2. In response to Non Final Rejection filed 03/07/2007, the Applicant filed a Request for Reconsideration on 06/07/2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herz et al (U.S. 6,571,279) in view of Hall et al (US 6,026,375) and further in view of Walter (US 6,334,110).

As per claims 1, 9 and 17, Herz teaches:

An advertisement providing system comprising a communication line, one or more communication dealer terminals, one or more consumer terminals and one or more company terminals, these terminals being interconnected by the communication line, wherein:

Art Unit: 3622

each communication dealer terminal includes a means for storing advertisement data and also consumer's position data and taste data, a means for selecting advertisement data for each consumer by taking the consumer's position data and taste data, and a current time into considerations (see Herz column 25, line 10 - column 26, line 62; column 11, lines 52-55; "time of day"). Herz does not expressly teach and a means for calculating a schedule time of consumer's arrival at a shop from a present position of the consumer and for transmitting the schedule time to a company terminal. However, Hall teaches "a method of processing an order from a mobile customer comprises receiving an order from a mobile customer, wherein the order includes customer identifying information; receiving customer location information from a location determination system; identifying at least one facility capable of completing the order; determining an estimated time of arrival of the customer at each identified facility using the customer location information; determining an amount of time needed by each identified facility to complete the order; and determining which facility of the at least one identified facility is capable of completing the order prior to the customer's estimated time of arrival at the determined facility" (see column 2, lines 49-61). Herz discloses in column 26, lines 49-60 "Once relevant products are identified, a user en route to a destination near a vendor's store can pre-order their groceries or other merchandise directly so that the user could simply pick up their pre-packaged grocery order at the time of arrival at the store. For example, an advertisement for a product on an electronic billboard could easily be pre-ordered from the user's automobile or device". Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 3622

application was made, to know that once a customer is en route to a destination near a vendor's store identifies relevant products, said customer would preorder their order and the <u>Herz</u> system would determine the estimated time of arrival of said customer to said vendor's store based upon said customer's location, as taught by <u>Hall</u>. This schedule time information would be used by said store to reduce waiting time of said customer upon arrival at said store.

each consumer terminal includes a means for displaying advertisement data received from a communication dealer terminal, a means for transmitting the consumer terminal's position data to the communication dealer terminal, and a means for transmitting reservation request data for a product or a service selected by the consumer on the basis of the advertisement data received (see <u>Herz</u> column 26, lines 49-62); and

each company terminal includes a means for producing advertisement data and transmitting the produced advertisement data to the communication dealer terminal (see <u>Herz</u> column 16, lines 7-34),

a means for receiving the reservation request data via the communication dealer terminal and executing a reservation process, a means for checking, when the consumer comes to a shop, whether the consumer has requested a reservation and outputting a result of the checking (see <u>Herz</u> column 26, lines 29-62)

Herz teaches wherein the communication dealer terminal further includes means for calculating an advertisement effect based on the transmitted reservation request data of the consumer (see Herz column 16, lines 22-25; column 18, lines 1-6; column

25, lines 5-15), but fails to teach said means determining a contract link factor from a ratio of a purchase activity to a number of times of an advertisement distribution, and calculating the advertisement effect based on the contract link factor and a sales increase ratio of the purchase activity before and the contract link factor after the advertisement distribution. However, <u>Walter</u> teaches a system that calculates the effectiveness of an advertisement campaign using the sale increase ratio of purchase activity (i.e. units sold) before and after said advertisement distribution (see <u>Walter</u> figure 9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was made, to know that <u>Herz</u> would profile the web pages of online vendors and of users that participate in transaction on-line, would collect transaction data (see <u>Herz</u> col 19, lines 1-20) and users' click stream or impressions relating to ads (see <u>Herz</u> col 16, lines 22-35) and would determine the purchase activity before and after the distribution of said ads, as taught by <u>Walter</u> in order to determine the effectiveness of an advertisement campaign.

As per claims 2 and 10, Herz, Hall and Walter teach:

The advertisement providing system according to claim 1, wherein the communication dealer terminal transmits the advertisement data as electronic mail to the consumer terminal (see Herz column 8, lines 51-65).

As per claims 3 and 11, <u>Herz, Hall</u> and <u>Walter</u> teach:

The advertisement providing system according to claim 1, wherein the consumer terminal transmits the reservation request data as electronic mail to the communication dealer terminal (see Herz column 25, lines 25-67).

Art Unit: 3622

As per claims 4 and 12, Herz, Hall and Walter teach:

The advertisement providing system according to claim 1, wherein the communication dealer terminal transmits the reservation request data as electronic mail to the company terminal (see <u>Herz</u> column 26, lines 29-62).

As per claims 5 and 13, Herz, Hall and Walter teach:

The advertisement providing system according to claim 1, wherein the communication line is an Internet system (see <u>Herz</u> column 25, lines 55-67).

As per claims 6 and 14, Herz, Hall and Walter teach:

The advertisement providing system according to claim 1, wherein the means for calculating the scheduled time of the consumer's arrival calculates the schedule time based on the present position of the consumer, the position of the shop as described in the advertisement and the present time. The same rejection applied to claim 1 regarding this missing limitation is also applied to claim 6.

As per claims 7 and 15, Herz, Hall and Walter teach:

The advertisement providing system according to claim 1, wherein the communication dealer terminal further includes a means for calculating advertisement effect from transmitted reservation request data of consumers from the contract of advertisement requested to it. Walter teaches a system that calculates the effectiveness of an advertisement campaign using the sale increase ratio of purchase activity (i.e. units sold) before and after said advertisement distribution (see Walter figure 9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was made, to know that Herz would profile the web pages of on-

Art Unit: 3622

line vendors and of users that participate in transaction on-line, would collect transaction data (see <u>Herz</u> col 19, lines 1-20) and users' click stream or impressions relating to ads (see <u>Herz</u> col 16, lines 22-35) and would determine the purchase activity before and after the distribution of said ads, as taught by <u>Walter</u> in order to determine the effectiveness of an advertisement campaign.

As per claims 8 and 16, Herz, Hall and Walter teach:

The advertisement providing system according to claim 7, but fails to teach wherein the communication dealer terminal further includes a means for determining advertisement fee from the advertisement effect. The same argument made in claim 7 is also made in claims 8 and 16.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 06/07/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues that Walter does not disclose or suggest a contract link factor, that is, a ratio of purchase activity to number of times of advertisement distribution and does not disclose a system that calculates the effectiveness of an advertisement campaign using the sale increase ratio and the contract link factor. The Examiner answers that the Applicant is repeating the claimed language without explaining the meaning of said claim language. Furthermore, Applicant's specification only explained said limitation in page 14 where it recites that purchase link factor is the relationship (i.e. ratio) between distributed offers and purchased created from said offers. Walter teaches finding a relationship between offers and purchases and also teaches calculating the number of units sold with the promotion

(i.e. purchase link factor) prior and after the duration of a promotion (see figure 9). Therefore, contrary to Applicant's argument, Walter teaches Applicant's claimed invention.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL LASTRA whose telephone number is 571-272-6720 and fax 571-273-6720. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ERIC W. STAMBER can be reached on 571-272-6724. The official Fax number is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3622

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel Lastra August 11, 2007

> RAQUEL ALVAREZ PRIMARY EXAMINER